UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,607	04/13/2006	Robert J. Lind	1340US2	1644
25279 GRACO MINN	7590 10/14/200 JESOTA INC	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 1441	C NANT 55440	HWU, DAVIS D		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/575,607	LIND ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Davis D. Hwu	3752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 Ag</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access	r election requirement. r.	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the orection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/13/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/575,607 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Breunsbach et al.

Breunsbach et al. disclose an apparatus which thermally protects a motor of a spray system which operates to maintain a user selected controlled pressure, the apparatus comprising a temperature sensor 66 which monitors the temperature of the motor and a controller 20 which reduces the pressure when the motor temperature exceeds a predetermined level by terminating the spray cycle. The apparatus will carry out the method since it comprises the required structural limitations and the teaching can be applied to a variable speed electric sprayer also.

3. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Breunsbach et al. in view of Baker.

Breunsbach et al. disclose an apparatus which thermally protects a motor of a spray system which operates to maintain a user selected controlled pressure, the apparatus comprising a temperature sensor 66 which monitors the temperature of the motor and a controller 20 which reduces the pressure when the motor temperature exceeds a predetermined level by terminating the spray cycle. Baker teaches a temperature

sensitive control valve which reduces flow pressure when a temperature rise is detected. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Breunsbach et al. by incorporating a valve to reduce the controlled pressure when the fluid temperature exceeds a predetermined level. The valve can also be used to reduce the pressure when the temperature of the motor exceeds a predetermined level since the concept of pressure reduction due to an increase in temperature has been taught by Baker. The apparatus will carry out the method since it comprises the required structural limitations and the teaching can be applied to a variable speed electric sprayer also.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1, 3, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Claims 1, 3, and 5 each recite the limitation "the temperature" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 7. Claims 3 and 5 each recite the limitation "the control." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davis D. Hwu whose telephone number is 571-272-4904. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30. If attempts to reach the

Application/Control Number: 10/575,607 Page 4

Art Unit: 3752

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Len Tran can be reached on 571-272-1184. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Davis D Hwu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3752